MATH7016: 20% Written Assessment 1 SOLUTIONS

Name:

1. Consider the displacement, x(t) (in metres), of a body of mass m (in kg), after t seconds, subject to two forces, gravity, and a drag force, proportional to the velocity. Formulate this problem as a second order initial value problem. Assume that the initial displacement and initial velocity are zero.

[6 Marks]

Solution: This is simply Newton's Second Law F = ma where we use the fact that a = x''. We also have to give the initial conditions. If we introduce v we should declare that v = x'.

$$m \cdot \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = mg - \alpha \frac{dx}{dt}, \qquad x(0) = 0, x'(0) = 0.$$

Some students had -mg and that is OK. The above assumes down as positive. Down as negative works too, and gives -mg.

2. Calculate the first two non-zero terms of the Maclaurin Series of $y(t) = \sin t$.

[4 Marks]

Solution: Using:

$$y(x) = y(0) + y'(0)x + \frac{y''(0)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{y'''(0)}{3!}x^3 + \cdots$$

we must calculate derivatives and evaluate them at t = 0:

$$y(0) = \sin 0 = 0$$

$$\implies y'(t) = \cos t|_{t=0} = 1$$

$$\implies y''(t) = -\sin t|_{t=0} = 0$$

$$\implies y'''(t) = -\cos t|_{t=0} = -1$$

$$\implies y(t) = 0 + 1t + \frac{0}{2}t^2 + \frac{-1}{3!}t^3 + \cdots$$

$$\implies \sin t \approx t - \frac{t^3}{6}.$$

Some students lost a mark because they had the independent variable x rather than t.

3. Consider an initial value problem with solution y(t):

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = F(t,y), \qquad y(t_0) = y_0 \tag{1}$$

- (a) Give one example or scenario where it would be necessary to use a numerical method in order to approximate y(t).
- (b) Taylor Methods assume that the solution has a infinite series representation near $t = t_0$:

$$y(t) = y(t_0) + y'(t_0)(t - t_0) + \frac{y''(t_0)}{2!}(t - t_0)^2 + \frac{y'''(t_0)}{3!}(t - t_0)^3 + \cdots$$

and uses a *truncation*, only finitely terms of the infinite series, to approximate y(t). For example, the *Three-Term-Taylor Method* uses:

$$y(t) \approx y(t_0) + y'(t_0)(t-t_0) + \frac{y''(t_0)}{2!}(t-t_0)^2.$$

What is the main disadvantage of using Taylor Methods such as the Three-Term-Taylor Method to solve (1) over a Runge–Kutta Method such as Heun's Method?

(c) Increasing the order of Taylor Methods means increasing the number of derivatives used. For example, the Euler Method is a Two-Term-Taylor Method, and it just uses just the first derivative, while the Three-Term-Taylor Method uses the first derivative and the second derivative. Increasing the order reduces the error. Runge-Kutta doesn't increase the number of derivatives used. Complete the following:

Increasing the order of Runge–Kutta methods means increasing the number of $_$ used.

[HINT: There are two reasonable answers. One will do.]

[6 Marks]

Solution:

- (a) Two reasonable answers here:
 - i. if F(t, y) does not have an elementary antiderivative,
 - ii. if F(t, y) is given by data.
- (b) With Taylor Methods you have to calculate higher order derivatives, and if F(t, y) depends on y, this requires implicit differentiation.
- (c) Answers that were good for full marks: slopes/points/derivatives/lines. Answers that were good for half marks: predictors/steps/calculations/terms/variables.
- 4. Consider the equation of motion for a *hard* spring:

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + 0.3\frac{dx}{dt} + x + x^3 = 0, \qquad x(0) = 0, \ x'(0) = 1.$$

Use Euler's Method with a step-size of h = 0.5 to approximate x(1.5). Use five significant figures for all calculations.

[16 Marks]

Solution: Students who didn't write this as two first order differential equations got no marks. Whatever you do if you don't do this makes no sense. Let

$$\frac{dx}{dt} =: v \implies \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = \frac{dv}{dt} \implies \frac{dv}{dt} + 0.3v + x + x^3 = 0,$$

So

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = v, & x(0) = 0\\ \frac{dv}{dt} = -0.3v - x - x^3, & v(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Off we go:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 0 + 0.5[1] = 0.5 \\ v_1 &= 1 + 0.5[-0.3(1) - 0 - 0^3] = 0.85 \\ x_2 &= 0.5 + 0.5[0.85] = 0.925 \\ v_2 &= 0.85 + 0.5[-0.3(0.85) - 0.5 - 0.5^3] = 0.41 \\ x_3 &= 0.925 + 0.5[0.41] = 1.13. \end{aligned}$$

Note $x_3 \approx x(1.5)$.

5. Consider an initial value problem

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = F(x); \ y(0) = 0.$$

Suppose Euler's Method is to be used to approximate y(10).

(a) Complete the following:

An Euler Method approximation $y_1 \approx y(x_0 + h)$ has large errors when the _____ changes a lot between x_0 and x_1 . In other words when the second ______ is

- (b) By reducing the step-size, the error in the Euler Method approximation can be reduced. What is the disadvantage of doing this?
- (c) The local error in the Euler Method approximation is $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$, which means that for each local error $\varepsilon_i^{\mathrm{L}}$ there is a constant k_i such that:

$$|\varepsilon_i^{\mathrm{L}}| \le k_i h^2.$$

Hence show that if we use the Euler Method to approximate $y(10) = y(0 + n \cdot h)$, that the global error, $\varepsilon^{G} = |y(10) - y_n|$, is $\mathcal{O}(h)$.

- (d) What is the effect on the global error if we quarter the step-size?
- (e) Given the issue with Euler's Method that you identified in part (a), what does Heun's Method take into account to improve on Euler's Method?
- (f) Given that Heun's Method takes something into account that Euler's Method does not, how does Heun's Method do this?

[14 Marks]

Solution:

- (a) An Euler Method approximation $y_1 \approx y(x_0 + h)$ has large errors when the <u>slope</u> changes a lot between x_0 and x_1 . In other words when the second <u>derivative</u> is large.
- (b) More calculations/longer running time.
- (c) Some students had L, R, T variables without defining what they were. Others did define them, e.g. $L = x_n - x_0$. However, in this case $x_n = 10$ and $x_0 = 0$ and so this L = 10. In the below, $k := \max_i k_i$ and we use the fact that $10 = nh \implies n = 10/h$:

$$\begin{split} |\varepsilon^{G}| &= |\varepsilon_{1}^{L} + \varepsilon_{2}^{L} + \dots + \varepsilon_{n}^{L}| \\ &\leq |\varepsilon_{1}^{L}| + |\varepsilon_{2}^{L}| + \dots + |\varepsilon_{n}^{L}| \\ &\leq k_{1}h^{2} + k_{2}h^{2} + \dots + k_{n}h^{2} \\ &\leq \underbrace{kh^{2} + kh^{2} + \dots + kh^{2}}_{n \text{ terms}} = nkh^{2} \\ &\Rightarrow |\varepsilon^{G}| \leq \frac{10}{h} \cdot k \cdot h^{2} = 10k \cdot h^{2}, \end{split}$$

that is ε^G , the global error, is order h.

=

- (d) It is also quartered. Why? Because it is order h, ε^G ~ kh. If you change h → h/4 what happens to the global error is kh → kh/4, that is it quarters. The local error gets decreased by a factor of 16 because it is order h². That is ε^L ~ kh² and so changing h → h/4, kh² → k(h/4)² = kh²/16.
- (e) It takes into account that the slope changes between x_i and x_{i+1} .
- (f) It considers the slope at the next point. It does this with the use of an Euler predictor y_{i+1}^p .
- 6. Consider the initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = x^2 \cdot y + e^{-y}; \qquad y(0) = 0.$$

Use Heun's Method with a step-size of 0.1 to approximate y(0.2). Use five significant figures for all calculations.

[14 Marks]

Solution: Off we go:

$$y_1^p = 0 + 0.1[0^2 \cdot 0 + e^{-0}] = 0.1$$

$$y_1 = 0 + 0.1 \frac{[0^2 \cdot 0 + e^{-0} + 0.1^2 \cdot 0.1 + e^{-0.1}]}{2} \approx 0.095292$$

$$y_2^p = 0.095292 + 0.1[0.1^2 \cdot 0.095292 + e^{-0.095292}] \approx 0.18630$$

$$y_2 = 0.095282 + 0.1 \frac{[0.1^2 \cdot 0.095292 + e^{-0.95292} + 0.2^2 \cdot 0.18630 + e^{-0.18630}]}{2} \approx 0.18267$$

And $y_2 \approx y(0.2)$. A number of students weren't careful with previous/current vs predicted/next x.