

# Random Walks on Finite Quantum Groups

## Diaconis-Shahshahani Upper Bound Lemma for Finite Quantum Groups

J.P. McCarthy

Cork Institute of Technology

17 May 2017  
Seoul National University

## The Classical Problem

Given a finite group,  $G$ , and elements  $\zeta_i \in G$  chosen according to a fixed probability distribution ( $\nu \in M_p(G)$ ), the sequence of random variables  $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$  given by:

$$\xi_i := \zeta_i \cdots \zeta_2 \cdot \zeta_1,$$

is called a (*right-invariant*) *random walk on  $G$  driven by  $\nu$* .

The distribution of  $\xi_k$  is given by

$$\underbrace{\nu \star \cdots \star \nu \star \nu}_{k \text{ copies}} =: \nu^{\star k},$$

where

$$\mu \star \nu(s) = \sum_{t \in G} \mu(st^{-1})\nu(t).$$

Denote by  $\pi \in M_p(G)$  the uniform or *random* distribution. The distance to random is measured by the *total variation distance*:

$$\|\nu^{\star k} - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} = \sup_{S \subset G} |\nu^{\star k}(S) - \pi(S)| = \frac{1}{2} \|\nu^{\star k} - \pi\|_{\ell^1}.$$

## Diaconis-Shahshahani Theory

Every group representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  splits into a direct sum of irreducible representations where  $\rho^\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\alpha)$  with  $\dim(V_\alpha) =: d_\alpha$ .

## Diaconis-Shahshahani Theory

Every group representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  splits into a direct sum of irreducible representations where  $\rho^\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\alpha)$  with  $\dim(V_\alpha) =: d_\alpha$ .

**Definition:** (used by Diaconis) The *Fourier Transform* of  $\nu \in M_p(G)$  is a linear map:

$$\hat{\nu} \in \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{Irr}(G)} L(V_\alpha);$$

where the *Fourier Transform* of  $\nu$  at the representation  $\rho^\alpha$  is:

$$\hat{\nu}|_{V_\alpha} =: \hat{\nu}(\alpha) = \sum_{t \in G} \nu(t) \rho^\alpha(t).$$

## Diaconis-Shahshahani Theory

Every group representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  splits into a direct sum of irreducible representations where  $\rho^\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\alpha)$  with  $\dim(V_\alpha) =: d_\alpha$ .

**Definition:** (used by Diaconis) The *Fourier Transform* of  $\nu \in M_p(G)$  is a linear map:

$$\widehat{\nu} \in \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{Irr}(G)} L(V_\alpha);$$

where the *Fourier Transform* of  $\nu$  at the representation  $\rho^\alpha$  is:

$$\widehat{\nu}|_{V_\alpha} =: \widehat{\nu}(\alpha) = \sum_{t \in G} \nu(t) \rho^\alpha(t).$$

**Upper Bound Lemma:** Where  $\tau$  is the *trivial* representation,

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|_{\text{TV}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(G) \setminus \{\tau\}} d_\alpha \text{Tr} \left[ (\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^*)^k \widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^k \right].$$

(Diaconis & Shahshahani (1981))



# Examples

- ▶ Simple Random Walk on Circle,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  — step left/right with equal probability; close to random in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  steps.
- ▶ Random Walk on the Hypercube,  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  — stick or move to one of the nearest neighbours with equal probability,  $\frac{1}{n+1}$ ; close to random in  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{4}n \ln n\right)$  steps.
- ▶ Random Transposition Shuffle of  $S_n$  — swap two cards chosen at random; close to random in  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{2}n \ln n\right)$  steps.

Diaconis (1988)

# Quantum Diaconis-Shahshahani Theory?

Consider again the Upper Bound Lemma:

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(G) \setminus \{\tau\}} d_\alpha \text{Tr} \left[ (\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^*)^k \widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^k \right].$$

Note there is no reference to points in the space  $G$ . While it appears that  $\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)$  is defined with respect to points, it is actually a sum over points, a rôle played by the Haar state  $h$ :

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{t \in G} f(t)}_{\text{classical: references points } t \in G} = \underbrace{h(f)}_{\text{quantum: no reference to points}}.$$

# Quantum Diaconis-Shahshahani Theory?

Consider again the Upper Bound Lemma:

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(G) \setminus \{\tau\}} d_\alpha \text{Tr} \left[ (\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^*)^k \widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^k \right].$$

Note there is no reference to points in the space  $G$ . While it appears that  $\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)$  is defined with respect to points, it is actually a sum over points, a rôle played by the Haar state  $h$ :

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{t \in G} f(t)}_{\text{classical: references points } t \in G} = \underbrace{h(f)}_{\text{quantum: no reference to points}}.$$

...and quantum groups have (co)representations. (This work uses the  $\kappa : V \rightarrow V \otimes F(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $e_j \mapsto \sum_i e_i \otimes \rho_{ij}$  formulation).



# Random Walks on Finite Quantum Groups

**Definition:** The algebra of functions on a (finite) quantum group  $\mathbb{G}$ , is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -Hopf algebra  $A =: F(\mathbb{G})$ .

Early work on quantum stochastic processes by various authors led to random walks on duals of compact groups (particularly Biane) and other examples, but Franz & Gohm (2005) define with clarity a *random walk on a finite quantum group*.

**Definition:** The sequence of random variables  $\{j_i\}_{i=0}^k$

$$j_k = \Delta^{(k)} : F(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{k+1 \text{ copies}} F(\mathbb{G}),$$

with distributions given by

$$\Psi_k \circ j_k = (\nu^{\otimes k} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta^{(k)} \cong \nu^{\star k}, \quad (\nu \in M_p(\mathbb{G})),$$

is called a *right-invariant random walk on  $\mathbb{G}$  driven by  $\nu$* .

## Distance to Random

**Definition:** The random distribution on a finite quantum group,  $\mathbb{G}$ , is given by the Haar state:

$$\pi := \int_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot := h : F(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore the distance to random might be defined with respect to some norm,  $\|\cdot\|$ , on the quantum group ring  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}$ :

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|.$$

## Distance to Random

**Definition:** The random distribution on a finite quantum group,  $\mathbb{G}$ , is given by the Haar state:

$$\pi := \int_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot := h : F(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore the distance to random might be defined with respect to some norm,  $\|\cdot\|$ , on the quantum group ring  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}$ :

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|.$$

The very important question:

*What are necessary and sufficient conditions on  $\nu \in M_p(\mathbb{G})$  to ensure that  $\nu^{*k} \rightarrow \pi$ ?*

is open. In the classical case  $\nu$  must not be concentrated on a subgroup (irreducibility) nor a coset of a normal subgroup (aperiodicity).

# Distance to Random

For  $\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|$  to be called a quantum total variation distance, such a norm must have three properties:

- ▶ Agreement in the classical case:

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| = \|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|_{\text{TV}},$$

- ▶ A Cauchy–Schwarz-type inequality (UBL is for a  $\|\cdot\|_2$ ):

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| \leq c \|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|_2,$$

- ▶ For lower bounds, a presentation as a supremum:

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| = \sup_{s \in S} F(s, \nu^{*k} - \pi).$$

## Distance to Random

Consider the one-norm on  $F(\mathbb{G})$ :

$$\|a\|_1^{F(\mathbb{G})} = \int_{\mathbb{G}} |a|.$$

## Distance to Random

Consider the one-norm on  $F(\mathbb{G})$ :

$$\|a\|_1^{F(\mathbb{G})} = \int_{\mathbb{G}} |a|.$$

The bijective map  $\mathcal{F} : F(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}$ , defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(a)b = \int_{\mathbb{G}} ba,$$

allows the norm of elements of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}$  to be calculated back in  $F(\mathbb{G})$ , and indeed the norm

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| := \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\nu^{*k} - \pi)\|_1^{F(\mathbb{G})},$$

has the three properties required in order for  $\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|$  to be defined as a quantum total variation distance.

## Distance to Random

Agreement in the classical case follows from  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\delta^s) = |G|\delta_s$  and  $(\nu^{*k} - \pi)(\delta_s) \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $\nu \in M_p(G)$ .

# Distance to Random

Agreement in the classical case follows from  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\delta^s) = |G|\delta_s$  and  $(\nu^{*k} - \pi)(\delta_s) \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $\nu \in M_p(G)$ .

Using the map  $\mathcal{F} : F(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}$  an (unnormalised) Haar integral  $\widehat{h} : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}$  may be defined by:

$$\widehat{h}(\mathcal{F}(a)) = \varepsilon(a); \quad \text{e.g. } \widehat{h} = \varepsilon \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1},$$

and used to define a two-norm on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}$ :

$$\|\mu\|_2^{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}} = \sqrt{\widehat{h}(|\mu|^2)}.$$



## Distance to Random

Consider the two-norm on  $F(\mathbb{G})$ :

$$\|a\|_2^{F(\mathbb{G})} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{G}} |a|^2}.$$

Van Daele (2006) proved a Plancherel Theorem:

$$\|a\|_2^{F(\mathbb{G})} = \|\mathcal{F}(a)\|_2^{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}},$$

## Distance to Random

Consider the two-norm on  $F(\mathbb{G})$ :

$$\|a\|_2^{F(\mathbb{G})} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{G}} |a|^2}.$$

Van Daele (2006) proved a Plancherel Theorem:

$$\|a\|_2^{F(\mathbb{G})} = \|\mathcal{F}(a)\|_2^{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}},$$

and using a standard non-commutative  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -space Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\nu^{*k} - \pi)\|_2^{F(\mathbb{G})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|_2^{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Upper Bound Lemma expresses the square of  $\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|_2^{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}}$  as a sum over non-trivial representations.

# Distance to Random

There is also a supremum-presentation for lower bounds:

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\phi \in F(\mathbb{G}): \|\phi\|_{\infty}^{F(\mathbb{G})} \leq 1} |\nu^{*k}(\phi) - \pi(\phi)|.$$

## Distance to Random

There is also a supremum-presentation for lower bounds:

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\phi \in F(\mathbb{G}): \|\phi\|_{\infty}^{F(\mathbb{G})} \leq 1} |\nu^{*k}(\phi) - \pi(\phi)|.$$

The guise of the classical definition favoured by probabilists

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} = \sup_{S \subset \mathbb{G}} |\nu^{*k}(S) - \pi(S)|,$$

may be adapted to the quantum case. Define a subset  $S \subset \mathbb{G}$  by a projection  $\mathbb{1}_S$ ; and  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{G}} = \mathbb{1}_{F(\mathbb{G})}$ . Then  $\phi = 2\mathbb{1}_S - \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{G}}$  is a suitable 'test function' and

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| \geq |\nu^{*k}(\mathbb{1}_S) - \pi(\mathbb{1}_S)|.$$

# Diaconis-Van Daele Theory

**Definition:** (Simeng Wang (2014)) The *Fourier Transform* of  $\nu \in M_p(\mathbb{G})$  is a linear map:

$$\widehat{\nu} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} L(V_\alpha),$$

where the *Fourier Transform* of  $\nu$  at the representation  $\kappa_\alpha$  is given by:

$$\widehat{\nu}|_{V_\alpha} =: \widehat{\nu}(\alpha) = (I_{V_\alpha} \otimes \nu) \circ \overline{\kappa_\alpha}.$$

# Diaconis-Van Daele Theory

**Definition:** (Simeng Wang (2014)) The *Fourier Transform* of  $\nu \in M_p(\mathbb{G})$  is a linear map:

$$\widehat{\nu} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} L(V_\alpha),$$

where the *Fourier Transform* of  $\nu$  at the representation  $\kappa_\alpha$  is given by:

$$\widehat{\nu}|_{V_\alpha} =: \widehat{\nu}(\alpha) = (I_{V_\alpha} \otimes \nu) \circ \overline{\kappa_\alpha}.$$

The map  $\mathcal{F} : F(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{G}$  also allows a *Fourier Transform* of  $a \in F(\mathbb{G})$  at the representation  $\kappa_\alpha$  to be defined:

$$\widehat{a}(\alpha) := \widehat{\mathcal{F}(a)}(\alpha).$$

# Diaconis-Van Daele Theory

Using the results of Van Daele (concerning the map  $\mathcal{F}$ ), it can be shown that the properties of the classical Fourier Transform  $\widehat{\nu}(\rho^\alpha)$ , that are used to prove the classical Upper Bound Lemma, are *also* shared by the quantum  $\widehat{\nu}(\kappa_\alpha)$ .

# Diaconis-Van Daele Theory

Using the results of Van Daele (concerning the map  $\mathcal{F}$ ), it can be shown that the properties of the classical Fourier Transform  $\widehat{\nu}(\rho^\alpha)$ , that are used to prove the classical Upper Bound Lemma, are *also* shared by the quantum  $\widehat{\nu}(\kappa_\alpha)$ .

For example, the sum over irreducible representations comes from the classical

$$\nu(\delta_e) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_\alpha \text{Tr} [\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)];$$

which has a generalisation to finite quantum groups:

$$\widehat{h}(\nu) = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_\alpha \text{Tr} [\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)].$$



## Upper Bound Lemma

Leaning heavily on the Kac condition and the traciality of  $\int_{\mathbb{G}}$ , the Upper Bound Lemma for Finite Quantum Groups follows in a similar manner to that of the classical result of Diaconis and Shahshahani.

In the notation that is used, the classical Upper Bound Lemma:

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G}) \setminus \{\tau\}} d_{\alpha} \text{Tr} \left[ (\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^*)^k \widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^k \right],$$

and the quantum Upper Bound Lemma:

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G}) \setminus \{\tau\}} d_{\alpha} \text{Tr} \left[ (\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^*)^k \widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^k \right].$$

are essentially the same thing.

## A Walk on Sekine Quantum Group

The Sekine Quantum Group (1996)  $\mathbb{KP}_n$  of order  $2n^2$  may be realised on

$$F(\mathbb{KP}_n) = \left( \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \mathbb{C}e_{(i,j)} \right) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

with a suitably defined comultiplication. The quantum group  $\mathbb{KP}_2$  is commonly mistaken for the Kac-Paljutkin quantum group of order 8. In fact  $\mathbb{KP}_2 \cong \widehat{D}_4$ .

## A Walk on Sekine Quantum Group

The Sekine Quantum Group (1996)  $\mathbb{KP}_n$  of order  $2n^2$  may be realised on

$$F(\mathbb{KP}_n) = \left( \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \mathbb{C}e_{(i,j)} \right) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

with a suitably defined comultiplication. The quantum group  $\mathbb{KP}_2$  is commonly mistaken for the Kac-Paljutkin quantum group of order 8. In fact  $\mathbb{KP}_2 \cong \widehat{D}_4$ .

Restrict to  $n$  odd and consider the random walk driven by

$$\nu = \frac{1}{4}(e^{(0,1)} + e^{(1,0)} + E^{11} + E^{12} + E^{21} + E^{22}) \in M_p(\mathbb{KP}_n).$$

## A Walk on Sekine Quantum Group

The Sekine Quantum Group (1996)  $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}_n$  of order  $2n^2$  may be realised on

$$F(\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}_n) = \left( \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \mathbb{C}e_{(i,j)} \right) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

with a suitably defined comultiplication. The quantum group  $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}_2$  is commonly mistaken for the Kac-Paljutkin quantum group of order 8. In fact  $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}_2 \cong \widehat{D}_4$ .

Restrict to  $n$  odd and consider the random walk driven by

$$\nu = \frac{1}{4}(e^{(0,1)} + e^{(1,0)} + E^{11} + E^{12} + E^{21} + E^{22}) \in M_p(\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}_n).$$

Franz & Skalski (2009) contains formulae which can be used to understand  $\nu^{*k}$ .

# A Walk on the Sekine Quantum Groups

These formulae show that

$$\nu^{*k}(E_{ij}) = 0 \quad \text{if } |i - j| \neq 0, 1;$$

i.e. off the subdiagonal, the superdiagonal and the diagonal.

Regarding the open question mentioned earlier, how can one tell if  $\nu^{*k} \rightarrow \pi$ ?

# A Walk on the Sekine Quantum Groups

These formulae show that

$$\nu^{\star k}(E_{ij}) = 0 \quad \text{if } |i - j| \neq 0, 1;$$

i.e. off the subdiagonal, the superdiagonal and the diagonal.

Regarding the open question mentioned earlier, how can one tell if  $\nu^{\star k} \rightarrow \pi$ ? In theory, the Upper Bound Lemma can be used in specific cases to answer this very question and indeed in this case

$$\|\nu^{\star k} - \pi\|^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}_n) \setminus \tau} d_\alpha \text{Tr} \left[ (\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^*)^k \widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^k \right] \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

## A Walk on the Sekine Quantum Groups

These formulae show that

$$\nu^{\star k}(E_{ij}) = 0 \quad \text{if } |i - j| \neq 0, 1;$$

i.e. off the subdiagonal, the superdiagonal and the diagonal.

Regarding the open question mentioned earlier, how can one tell if  $\nu^{\star k} \rightarrow \pi$ ? In theory, the Upper Bound Lemma can be used in specific cases to answer this very question and indeed in this case

$$\|\nu^{\star k} - \pi\|^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}_n) \setminus \tau} d_\alpha \text{Tr} \left[ (\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^*)^k \widehat{\nu}(\alpha)^k \right] \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

One must control terms at  $2n - 1$  one dimensional representations and  $\binom{n}{2}$  two dimensional representations. This convergence shows that, with respect to the dual basis:

$$\nu^{\star k} \rightarrow \pi = \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} e^{(i,j)} + \frac{1}{2n} I_n.$$

## A Walk on the Sekine Quantum Groups

**Upper Bound:** For  $k = \frac{n^2}{80} + \alpha n^2$  with  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 7$

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| \leq \frac{111}{100} e^{-\alpha\pi^2}.$$



## A Walk on the Sekine Quantum Groups

**Upper Bound:** For  $k = \frac{n^2}{80} + \alpha n^2$  with  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 7$

$$\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| \leq \frac{111}{100} e^{-\alpha\pi^2}.$$

It should be possible to reduce  $\alpha$ .

The upper bound for the walk on is dominated by  $(n-1)/2$  representations in particular. The upper bound for these terms:

$$2 \sum_{v=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cos^{4k-2} \left( \frac{\pi v}{n} \right) \leq 4e^{-\pi^2(2k-1)/n^2};$$

is quite sharp. The lower bound

$$\left| \frac{1}{2n} - \nu^{*k} \left( \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}E_{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}}} \right) \right|,$$

in particular might deserve further analysis.

## Some Questions

- ▶ Irreducibility is harder than the classical case (where ‘not concentrated’ on a subgroup is enough). Can anything be said about aperiodicity in the quantum case? (U. Franz).
- ▶ Can a lower bound for the studied random walk on  $\mathbb{KP}_n$ , comparable with the upper bound for  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  steps, be found? Using a matrix element as a test element, independent of  $n$ , crudely,  $\|\nu^{*k} - \pi\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^k$ .
- ▶ Find a random walk on the family of Sekine quantum groups with a driving probability exhibiting the *cut-off phenomenon*. Perhaps with  $\nu_n \in M_p(\mathbb{KP}_n)$  exhibiting  $n$ -dependence.
- ▶ Extend the Upper Bound Lemma to compact quantum groups (with the Kac Property) driven by states  $\nu \in M_p(\mathbb{G})$  of the form  $\mathcal{F}(a)$ . In the classical case, conjugate-invariant driving probabilities lead to a somewhat-tractable upper bound.
- ▶ Find convergence rates for quantum analogues of classical random walks; e.g. the random transposition shuffle.

## References

1. Diaconis & Shahshahani (1981), *Generating a Random Permutation with Random Transpositions*
2. Diaconis (1988), *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*
3. Sekine (1996), *An Example of Finite Dimensional Kac Algebras of Kac-Paljutkin Type*
4. Franz & Gohm (2005), *Random Walks on Finite Quantum Groups*
5. Van Daele (2006), *The Fourier Transform in Quantum Group Theory*
6. Franz & Skalski (2009), *On Idempotent States on Quantum Groups*
7. Simeng Wang (2014),  *$\mathcal{L}_p$ -Improving Convolution Operators on Finite Quantum Groups*